ELSEVIER

4 September 1997

PHYSICS LETTERS B

Physics Letters B 407 (1997) 351–360

Inclusive J, ψ' and χ_c production in hadronic Z decays

L3 Collaboration

M. Acciarri[ab], O. Adriani[q], M. Aguilar-Benitez[aa], S. Ahlen[k], J. Alcaraz[aa], G. Alemanni[w], J. Allaby[r], A. Aloisio[ad], G. Alverson[ℓ], M.G. Alviggi[ad], G. Ambrosi[t], H. Anderhub[ay], V.P. Andreev[am], T. Angelescu[m], F. Anselmo[i], A. Arefiev[ac], T. Azemoon[c], T. Aziz[j], P. Bagnaia[aℓ], L. Baksay[as], R.C. Ball[c], S. Banerjee[j], Sw. Banerjee[j], K. Banicz[au], A. Barczyk[ay,av], R. Barillère[r], L. Barone[aℓ], P. Bartalini[ai], A. Baschirotto[ab], M. Basile[i], R. Battiston[ai], A. Bay[w], F. Becattini[q], U. Becker[p], F. Behner[ay], J. Berdugo[aa], P. Berges[p], B. Bertucci[ai], B.L. Betev[ay], S. Bhattacharya[j], M. Biasini[r], A. Biland[ay], G.M. Bilei[ai], J.J. Blaising[d], S.C. Blyth[aj], G.J. Bobbink[b], R. Bock[a], A. Böhm[a], L. Boldizsar[n], B. Borgia[aℓ], A. Boucham[d], D. Bourilkov[ay], M. Bourquin[t], D. Boutigny[d], S. Braccini[t], J.G. Branson[ao], V. Brigljevic[ay], I.C. Brock[aj], A. Buffini[q], A. Buijs[at], J.D. Burger[p], W.J. Burger[t], J. Busenitz[as], X.D. Cai[p], M. Campanelli[ay], M. Capell[p], G. Cara Romeo[i], G. Carlino[ad], A.M. Cartacci[q], J. Casaus[aa], G. Castellini[q], F. Cavallari[aℓ], N. Cavallo[ad], C. Cecchi[t], M. Cerrada[aa], F. Cesaroni[x], M. Chamizo[aa], Y.H. Chang[ba], U.K. Chaturvedi[s], S.V. Chekanov[af], M. Chemarin[z], A. Chen[ba], G. Chen[g], G.M. Chen[g], H.F. Chen[u], H.S. Chen[g], M. Chen[p], G. Chiefari[ad], C.Y. Chien[e], L. Cifarelli[an], F. Cindolo[i], C. Civinini[q], I. Clare[p], R. Clare[p], H.O. Cohn[ag], G. Coignet[d], A.P. Colijn[b], N. Colino[aa], V. Commichau[a], S. Costantini[h], F. Cotorobai[m], B. de la Cruz[aa], A. Csilling[n], T.S. Dai[p], R. D'Alessandro[q], R. de Asmundis[ad], A. Degré[d], K. Deiters[av], P. Denes[ak], F. DeNotaristefani[aℓ], D. DiBitonto[as], M. Diemoz[aℓ], D. van Dierendonck[b], F. Di Lodovico[ay], C. Dionisi[aℓ], M. Dittmar[ay], A. Dominguez[ao], A. Doria[ad], I. Dorne[d], M.T. Dova[s,4], E. Drago[ad], D. Duchesneau[d], P. Duinker[b], I. Duran[ap], S. Dutta[j], S. Easo[ai], Yu. Efremenko[ag], H. El Mamouni[z], A. Engler[aj], F.J. Eppling[p], F.C. Erné[b], J.P. Ernenwein[z], P. Extermann[t], M. Fabre[av], R. Faccini[aℓ], S. Falciano[aℓ], A. Favara[q], J. Fay[z], O. Fedin[am], M. Felcini[ay], B. Fenyi[as], T. Ferguson[aj], F. Ferroni[aℓ], H. Fesefeldt[a], E. Fiandrini[ai], J.H. Field[t], F. Filthaut[aj], P.H. Fisher[p], I. Fisk[ao], G. Forconi[p], L. Fredj[t], K. Freudenreich[ay], C. Furetta[ab], Yu. Galaktionov[ac,p], S.N. Ganguli[j], P. Garcia-Abia[aw], S.S. Gau[ℓ], S. Gentile[aℓ], J. Gerald[e], N. Gheordanescu[m], S. Giagu[aℓ], S. Goldfarb[w], J. Goldstein[k], Z.F. Gong[u], A. Gougas[e], G. Gratta[ah], M.W. Gruenewald[h], V.K. Gupta[ak], A. Gurtu[j], L.J. Gutay[au], B. Hartmann[a], A. Hasan[ae], D. Hatzifotiadou[i], T. Hebbeker[h], A. Hervé[r], W.C. van Hoek[af], H. Hofer[ay], S.J. Hong[ar], H. Hoorani[aj], S.R. Hou[ba], G. Hu[e],

PII S0370-2693(97)00790-9

V. Innocente[r], H. Janssen[d], K. Jenkes[a], B.N. Jin[g], L.W. Jones[c], P. de Jong[r], I. Josa-Mutuberria[aa], A. Kasser[w], R.A. Khan[s], D. Kamrad[aw], Yu. Kamyshkov[ag], J.S. Kapustinsky[y], Y. Karyotakis[d], M. Kaur[s,5], M.N. Kienzle-Focacci[t], D. Kim[aℓ], D.H. Kim[ar], J.K. Kim[ar], S.C. Kim[ar], Y.G. Kim[ar], W.W. Kinnison[y], A. Kirkby[ah], D. Kirkby[ah], J. Kirkby[r], D. Kiss[n], W. Kittel[af], A. Klimentov[p,ac], A.C. König[af], A. Kopp[aw], I. Korolko[ac], V. Koutsenko[p,ac], R.W. Kraemer[aj], W. Krenz[a], A. Kunin[p,ac], P. Ladron de Guevara[aa], G. Landi[q], C. Lapoint[p], K. Lassila-Perini[ay], P. Laurikainen[v], M. Lebeau[r], A. Lebedev[p], P. Lebrun[z], P. Lecomte[ay], P. Lecoq[r], P. Le Coultre[ay], C. Leggett[c], J.M. Le Goff[r], R. Leiste[aw], E. Leonardi[aℓ], P. Levtchenko[am], C. Li[u], C.H. Lin[ba], W.T. Lin[ba], F.L. Linde[b,r], L. Lista[ad], Z.A. Liu[g], W. Lohmann[aw], E. Longo[aℓ], W. Lu[ah], Y.S. Lu[g], K. Lübelsmeyer[a], C. Luci[aℓ], D. Luckey[p], L. Luminari[aℓ], W. Lustermann[av], W.G. Ma[u], M. Maity[j], G. Majumder[j], L. Malgeri[aℓ], A. Malinin[ac], C. Maña[aa], D. Mangeol[af], S. Mangla[j], P. Marchesini[ay], A. Marin[k], J.P. Martin[z], F. Marzano[aℓ], G.G.G. Massaro[b], D. McNally[r], S. Mele[ad], L. Merola[ad], M. Meschini[q], W.J. Metzger[af], M. von der Mey[a], Y. Mi[w], A. Mihul[m], A.J.W. van Mil[af], G. Mirabelli[aℓ], J. Mnich[r], P. Molnar[h], B. Monteleoni[q], R. Moore[c], S. Morganti[aℓ], T. Moulik[j], R. Mount[ah], S. Müller[a], F. Muheim[t], A.J.M. Muijs[b], S. Nahn[p], M. Napolitano[ad], F. Nessi-Tedaldi[ay], H. Newman[ah], T. Niessen[a], A. Nippe[a], A. Nisati[aℓ], H. Nowak[aw], Y.D. Oh[ar], H. Opitz[a], G. Organtini[aℓ], R. Ostonen[v], C. Palomares[aa], D. Pandoulas[a], S. Paoletti[aℓ], P. Paolucci[ad], H.K. Park[aj], I.H. Park[ar], G. Pascale[aℓ], G. Passaleva[q], S. Patricelli[ad], T. Paul[ℓ], M. Pauluzzi[ai], C. Paus[a], F. Pauss[ay], D. Peach[r], Y.J. Pei[a], S. Pensotti[ab], D. Perret-Gallix[d], B. Petersen[af], S. Petrak[h], A. Pevsner[e], D. Piccolo[ad], M. Pieri[q], J.C. Pinto[aj], P.A. Piroué[ak], E. Pistolesi[ab], V. Plyaskin[ac], M. Pohl[ay], V. Pojidaev[ac,q], H. Postema[p], N. Produit[t], D. Prokofiev[am], G. Rahal-Callot[ay], N. Raja[j], P.G. Rancoita[ab], M. Rattaggi[ab], G. Raven[ao], P. Razis[ae], K. Read[ag], D. Ren[ay], M. Rescigno[aℓ], S. Reucroft[ℓ], T. van Rhee[at], S. Riemann[aw], K. Riles[c], O. Rind[c], A. Robohm[ay], J. Rodin[p], B.P. Roe[c], L. Romero[aa], S. Rosier-Lees[d], Ph. Rosselet[w], W. van Rossum[at], S. Roth[a], J.A. Rubio[r], D. Ruschmeier[h], H. Rykaczewski[ay], J. Salicio[r], E. Sanchez[aa], M.P. Sanders[af], M.E. Sarakinos[v], S. Sarkar[j], M. Sassowsky[a], G. Sauvage[d], C. Schäfer[a], V. Schegelsky[am], S. Schmidt-Kaerst[a], D. Schmitz[a], P. Schmitz[a], M. Schneegans[d], N. Scholz[ay], H. Schopper[az], D.J. Schotanus[af], J. Schwenke[a], G. Schwering[a], C. Sciacca[ad], D. Sciarrino[t], L. Servoli[ai], S. Shevchenko[ah], N. Shivarov[aq], V. Shoutko[ac], J. Shukla[y], E. Shumilov[ac], A. Shvorob[ah], T. Siedenburg[a], D. Son[ar], A. Sopczak[aw], V. Soulimov[ad], B. Smith[p], P. Spillantini[q], M. Steuer[p], D.P. Stickland[ak], H. Stone[ak], B. Stoyanov[aq], A. Straessner[a], K. Strauch[o], K. Sudhakar[j], G. Sultanov[s], L.Z. Sun[u], G.F. Susinno[t], H. Suter[ay], J.D. Swain[s], X.W. Tang[g], L. Tauscher[f], L. Taylor[ℓ], Samuel C.C. Ting[p], S.M. Ting[p], M. Tonutti[a], S.C. Tonwar[j], J. Tóth[n], C. Tully[ak], H. Tuchscherer[as], K.L. Tung[g], Y. Uchida[p], J. Ulbricht[ay], U. Uwer[r], E. Valente[aℓ], R.T. Van de Walle[af], G. Vesztergombi[n], I. Vetlitsky[ac], G. Viertel[ay], M. Vivargent[d], R. Völkert[aw], H. Vogel[aj], H. Vogt[aw], I. Vorobiev[ac], A.A. Vorobyov[am], A. Vorvolakos[ae], M. Wadhwa[f], W. Wallraff[a], J.C. Wang[p], X.L. Wang[u], Z.M. Wang[u],

A. Weber [a], F. Wittgenstein [r], S.X. Wu [s], S. Wynhoff [a], J. Xu [k], Z.Z. Xu [u], B.Z. Yang [u], C.G. Yang [g], X.Y. Yao [g], J.B. Ye [u], S.C. Yeh [ba], J.M. You [aj], An. Zalite [am], Yu. Zalite [am], P. Zemp [ay], Y. Zeng [a], Z. Zhang [g], Z.P. Zhang [u], B. Zhou [k], Y. Zhou [c], G.Y. Zhu [g], R.Y. Zhu [ah], A. Zichichi [i,r,s], F. Ziegler [aw]

[a] *I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH, D-52056 Aachen, FRG* [1]
III. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH, D-52056 Aachen, FRG [1]
[b] *National Institute for High Energy Physics, NIKHEF, and University of Amsterdam, NL-1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
[c] *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA*
Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, LAPP,IN2P3-CNRS, BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux CEDEX, France
[e] *Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA*
[f] *Institute of Physics, University of Basel, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland*
[g] *Institute of High Energy Physics, IHEP, 100039 Beijing, China* [6]
[h] *Humboldt University, D-10099 Berlin, FRG* [1]
[i] *University of Bologna and INFN-Sezione di Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy*
[j] *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 400 005, India*
[k] *Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA*
[ℓ] *Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA*
[m] *Institute of Atomic Physics and University of Bucharest, R-76900 Bucharest, Romania*
[n] *Central Research Institute for Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest 114, Hungary* [2]
[o] *Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*
[p] *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*
[q] *INFN Sezione di Firenze and University of Florence, I-50125 Florence, Italy*
[r] *European Laboratory for Particle Physics, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*
[s] *World Laboratory, FBLJA Project, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*
[t] *University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland*
[u] *Chinese University of Science and Technology, USTC, Hefei, Anhui 230 029, China* [6]
[v] *SEFT, Research Institute for High Energy Physics, P.O. Box 9, SF-00014 Helsinki, Finland*
[w] *University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*
[x] *INFN-Sezione di Lecce and Universitá Degli Studi di Lecce, I-73100 Lecce, Italy*
[y] *Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA*
[z] *Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, IN2P3-CNRS,Université Claude Bernard, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France*
[aa] *Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas, Medioambientales y Tecnologicas, CIEMAT, E-28040 Madrid, Spain* [3]
[ab] *INFN-Sezione di Milano, I-20133 Milan, Italy*
[ac] *Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, ITEP, Moscow, Russia*
[ad] *INFN-Sezione di Napoli and University of Naples, I-80125 Naples, Italy*
[ae] *Department of Natural Sciences, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus*
[af] *University of Nijmegen and NIKHEF, NL-6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands*
[ag] *Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA*
[ah] *California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*
[ai] *INFN-Sezione di Perugia and Universitá Degli Studi di Perugia, I-06100 Perugia, Italy*
[aj] *Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA*
[ak] *Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*
[aℓ] *INFN-Sezione di Roma and University of Rome, "La Sapienza", I-00185 Rome, Italy*
[am] *Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia*
[an] *University and INFN, Salerno, I-84100 Salerno, Italy*
[ao] *University of California, San Diego, CA 92093, USA*
[ap] *Dept. de Fisica de Particulas Elementales, Univ. de Santiago, E-15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain*
[aq] *Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Central Lab. of Mechatronics and Instrumentation, BU-1113 Sofia, Bulgaria*
[ar] *Center for High Energy Physics, Korea Adv. Inst. of Sciences and Technology, 305-701 Taejon, South Korea*
[as] *University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35486, USA*
[at] *Utrecht University and NIKHEF, NL-3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands*
[au] *Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA*
[av] *Paul Scherrer Institut, PSI, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland*
[aw] *DESY-Institut für Hochenergiephysik, D-15738 Zeuthen, FRG*
[ay] *Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

[az] University of Hamburg, D-22761 Hamburg, FRG
[ba] High Energy Physics Group, Taiwan, ROC

Received 25 April 1997
Editor: K. Winter

Abstract

We report on measurements of the inclusive production of J, ψ' and χ_c mesons in hadronic Z decays, based on 3.2 million hadronic events collected using the L3 detector at LEP. The J and ψ' mesons are reconstructed through their decays into lepton pairs, while the χ_c mesons are reconstructed via the decay mode $\chi_c \to J + \gamma$. The measured branching fractions are: $Br(Z \to J + X) = (3.40 \pm 0.23\,(stat.) \pm 0.27\,(sys.)) \times 10^{-3}$, $Br(Z \to \psi' + X) = (1.6 \pm 0.5\,(stat.) \pm 0.3\,(sys.)) \times 10^{-3}$, $Br(Z \to \chi_{c1} + X) = (2.7 \pm 0.6\,(stat.) \pm 0.5\,(sys.)) \times 10^{-3}$. In the absence of a clear χ_{c2} signal, the upper limit at 90% C.L. is set: $Br(Z \to \chi_{c2} + X) < 3.2 \times 10^{-3}$. © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

1. Introduction

The production of J, ψ' and χ_c charmonium states in Z decays is predicted to proceed mainly via the decay of b hadrons. Only a minor fraction is expected to be prompt, deriving from the fragmentation of a gluon or a c quark in $Z \to q\bar{q}$ decays. The calculation of $Br(b \to J + X)$ suffers from large QCD uncertainties; the results range from 0.2% to 2% [1–3]. Estimates for the rate of prompt J production $Br(Z \to J + X)_p$ vary from 1×10^{-4} to 4×10^{-4} [4–7].

The interest on quarkonium production has been recently renewed by measurements at the TEVATRON collider [8], which give prompt quarkonium cross sections much higher than predicted by first order color singlet model [9] calculations. It has been shown [10] that the color octet model [11,12] is able to reproduce both the rate and the observed p_t spectrum of $c\bar{c}$ bound states. At LEP present measurements of prompt J production are consistent with both the color octet and the color singlet predictions [13–15]. Features distinctive of the color octet model could show up in the decays of b hadrons into χ_c states, where both color-singlet and color-octet matrix elements contribute at first order [11]. The production of χ_{c1} states could be significantly enhanced, as would be revealed by the value of: $R^b_{\chi_{c1}} = Br(b \to \chi_{c1} + X)/Br(b \to J + X)$, where most of QCD uncertainties cancel out, which in the color singlet model is computed to be $R^b_{\chi_{c1}} \simeq 0.2$[7]. The production of χ_{c0} and χ_{c2} states, which is strongly suppressed in the color singlet model framework [1], would proceed at first order through the color-octet matrix elements. Since the χ_{c2} has a non-negligible branching fraction into $J\gamma$, its production could result in a visible signal close to the χ_{c1} peak. Moreover, the observation of a large χ_{c2} signal would validate another model of charmonium production, the color evaporation model [16].

We have previously measured the inclusive J and χ_c production in Z decays [13,17]. The present analysis is performed on the data collected by the L3 experiment [18] from 1991 through 1994, corresponding to 3.2 million hadronic Z decays, with a tripled statistics compared to the previous measurements. An improved resolution for both the J and the χ_c signal is obtained by adopting tighter lepton selection criteria. A direct measurement of the χ_c to J production ratio is performed and the contribution of χ_{c2} states to the signal is investigated.

[1] Supported by the German Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie.
[2] Supported by the Hungarian OTKA fund under contract numbers T14459 and T24011.
[3] Supported also by the Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Technología.
[4] Also supported by CONICET and Universidad Nacional de La Plata, CC 67, 1900 La Plata, Argentina.
[5] Also supported by Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India.
[6] Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

[7] as can be derived from [1] after accounting for the feed-down from χ_c and ψ' decays into J.

2. The branching fractions Br(Z → J + X) and Br(Z → ψ′ + X)

Hadronic Z decays are selected requiring high multiplicity and high and well balanced visible energy [19]. The selection efficiency is $(98.9 \pm 0.1)\%$, as determined using $Z \to q\bar{q}$ Monte Carlo events [20].

J and ψ' candidates are reconstructed via their decays into muon or electron pairs. Muons are identified and measured in the muon chamber system. Muon tracks are required to consist of track segments in at least two of the three layers of the muon chambers, and to point to the interaction region. Electrons are identified as electromagnetic clusters in the electromagnetic calorimeter associated to a track in the central tracking chamber. Both the barrel and the end cap regions of the calorimeter are used. Tight requirements are made on the shape of the electromagnetic shower and on the quality of the angular and momentum matching with the track. The energy of the electrons is measured using the electromagnetic calorimeter.

In the laboratory system, the $J \to \ell^+\ell^-$ decay typically results in one high and one low momentum lepton. We therefore select muon (electron) candidates with a momentum in excess of 2.5 (1.5) GeV for the least energetic one and larger than 4 GeV for the most energetic one. In order to reduce the combinatorial background, we require the opening angle between two oppositely charged lepton candidates to be smaller than 80°.

Further details on the adopted selection criteria can be found in [21,22]. All data distributions are in good agreement with the Monte Carlo simulation of 6 million $Z \to q\bar{q}$ decays. In Fig. 1 the lepton spectra in the J invariant mass region are shown.

The invariant mass spectra of the selected $\mu^+\mu^-$ and e^+e^- pairs are shown in Fig. 2. We perform an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the two distributions in the mass region $2.0 < M_{\ell^+\ell^-} < 4.5$ GeV. In this fit the $J \to \ell^+\ell^-$ and $\psi' \to \ell^+\ell^-$ decays are described by two Gaussian functions and the background is modelled using a fourth order polynomial. The two Gaussian functions are constrained to have the same width and a shift of 589 MeV between the central values, corresponding to the known difference between the ψ' and J masses [23]. From the fit we obtain 241 ± 25 $J \to \mu^+\mu^-$ and 200 ± 18 $J \to e^+e^-$ decays, together with 27 ± 10 $\psi' \to \mu^+\mu^-$ and 12 ± 7 $\psi' \to e^+e^-$ candidates. The resulting mass values and resolutions for the J signal are: $M_J^{\mu^+\mu^-} = (3118 \pm 12)$ MeV, $\sigma_{\mu^+\mu^-} = (123 \pm 12)$ MeV and $M_J^{e^+e^-} = (3093 \pm 8)$ MeV, $\sigma_{e^+e^-} = (85 \pm 9)$ MeV, in agreement with the expectations from the Monte Carlo simulation.



Fig. 1. Spectrum of (a) the most energetic lepton and (b) the least energetic lepton for $\ell^+\ell^-$ pairs in the mass region $2.8 < M_{\ell^+\ell^-} < 3.4$ GeV. All selection cuts are applied but the ones on the lepton momenta. The arrows indicate the position of the cuts.

The signal is simulated by 16000 Monte Carlo $Z \to b\bar{b}$ events [20], imposing the decay chain $b \to J + X$ followed by $J \to \ell^+\ell^-$ for one of the b hadrons. The Peterson fragmentation function for b quarks is used with $\langle x_b \rangle = 0.702$ in accordance with the LEP measurements [24]. The generated events are reweighted according to the J momentum in the B reference system, in order to reproduce measurements performed at the Υ(4S) [25]. From the Monte Carlo simulation we estimate the selection efficiencies $\epsilon_J^{\mu^+\mu^-} = 0.259 \pm 0.005$ and $\epsilon_J^{e^+e^-} = 0.212 \pm 0.005$, where the quoted errors are statistical only.

The summary of the relative systematic errors on $Br(Z \to J + X)$ is given in Table 1. Uncertainties due to the adopted lepton identification criteria are evaluated by varying the lepton identification parameters. The cut on the least energetic lepton mo-



Fig. 2. (a) Invariant mass spectrum of $\mu^+\mu^-$ and (b) e^+e^- selected pairs. The line represents the result of the unbinned maximum-likelihood fit described in the text.

mentum is varied in the (2–4) GeVrange for muons and (1–3) GeVrange for electrons; the cut on the most energetic lepton momentum is varied in the (2–6) GeVrange. We also vary the angular cut between the two leptons, in the 60°–100° range. The total variation on $Br(Z \to J + X)$ observed by varying kinematical cuts is 3.9%, to be compared to the 2% uncertainty estimated by varying $< x_b >$ between 0.711 and 0.695 in the Monte Carlo simulation of the signal. Detector inefficiencies are evaluated using the online database information, and checked with an alternative method, based on the analysis of $Z \to \ell^+\ell^-$ decays. Relative to a fully efficient detector, acceptance factors of 0.81 ± 0.06 for $J \to e^+e^-$ and 0.85 ± 0.05 for $J \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decays are estimated, where the quoted errors are conservatively derived from the difference of the two evaluations. The fitting procedure is checked by counting the number of events inside the invariant mass window $M_J \pm 3\sigma_{\ell^+\ell^-}$ and subtracting the background found in simulated $Z \to q\bar{q}$ decays.

Table 1
Relative systematic errors on the measurement of $Br(Z \to J + X)$. The contributions from $J \to e^+e^-$, $J \to \mu^+\mu^-$ and the combined channel are detailed.

	$\Delta Br(Z \to J + X)/Br(Z \to J + X)$		
	$J \to e^+e^-$	$J \to \mu^+\mu^-$	$J \to \ell^+\ell^-$
Lepton identification	3.5%	2.2%	2.2%
Kinematical cuts	3.7%	4.1%	3.9%
Detector inefficiencies	7.4%	6.2%	5.0%
M.C. statistics	1.8%	1.8%	1.3%
Fitting procedure	3.5%	3.9%	2.6%
$Br(J \to \ell^+\ell^-)$	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%
Total	10.1%	9.4%	7.9%

Using $Br(J \to \ell^+\ell^-) = 0.0601 \pm 0.0019$ [23], we measure:

$$Br(Z \to J(e^+e^-) + X) = (3.42 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3};$$

$$Br(Z \to J(\mu^+\mu^-) + X) = (3.38 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}.$$

Combining the two measurements and taking into account common systematic errors, we obtain the following branching fraction:

$$Br(Z \to J + X) = (3.40 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}.$$

From the number of reconstructed $\psi' \to \ell^+\ell^-$ decays we measure:

$$Br(Z \to \psi' + X) = (1.6 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3},$$

where the major systematic uncertainty arises from the evaluation of the background under the ψ' peak and from the knowledge of $Br(\psi' \to \ell^+\ell^-)$ [23].

The branching fractions $Br(b \to J + X)$ and $Br(b \to \psi' + X)$ can be evaluated, taking into account the fraction of J and ψ' not produced in b decays. The estimates of prompt J production yield values of $Br(Z \to J + X)_p$ from 1×10^{-4} to 4×10^{-4}, depending on the model adopted and with at least a factor two uncertainty in the calculations [4–7]. Present experimental results on prompt J production [13–15] are consistent with these predictions. We therefore use: $Br(Z \to J + X)_p = (2 \pm 2) \times 10^{-4}$. For prompt ψ' production we use $Br(Z \to \psi' + X)_p = (1 \pm 1) \times 10^{-4}$

[7]. Assuming the Standard Model value $R_b = 0.2156$ [26], we derive:

$$\mathrm{Br}(b \to J + X) = (1.06 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2},$$
$$\mathrm{Br}(b \to \psi' + X) = (0.46 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-2}.$$

3. The branching fraction Br(Z → χ_{c1} + X)

Inclusive χ_{c1} meson production in Z decays is measured via the $\chi_{c1} \to J_\psi \gamma$ decay. In order to increase the statistics in the $J \to e^+e^-$ channel, some of the electromagnetic identification criteria are relaxed. A total number of 440 ± 32 (background subtracted) $J \to \ell^+\ell^-$ events are selected in the mass window between 2.8 and 3.4 GeV.

Photon candidates are identified as isolated clusters in the electromagnetic calorimeter having a shape consistent with an electromagnetic shower, and with no charged track pointing to the cluster within four times the expected angular resolution in the azimuthal plane. In order to reduce the combinatorial background, only photons inside a 40° cone around the reconstructed J direction and with energy larger than 1.1 GeV are selected. Further details on the selection variables can be found in [22].

The χ_{c1} candidates are selected in the $M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-) = (414 \pm 56)$ MeV mass-difference window, which is centred around the $M(\chi_{c1}) - M(J)$ expected value [23], and about three times wider than the average Monte Carlo resolution, $\sigma_{MC} = 18.7$ MeV. Fig. 3 shows the energy spectrum of photons selected in the signal mass region and Fig. 4 the momentum of the selected χ_{c1} candidates. In Fig. 5 the measured $M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-)$ spectrum is shown. A total of 64 events is selected in the chosen signal region.

The background can be divided in two components: events where a fake J is selected and events where a true J is combined with an uncorrelated photon. The background due to fake J is studied using data taken from the sidebands of the J peak and from $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ pairs in the J mass region. The background due to random $J - \gamma$ combinations is studied through $Z \to J + X$ Monte Carlo events, excluding χ_c production. The obtained distributions are shown in Fig. 6. Their shapes are similar, and no residual structure is seen in $J - \gamma$ combinations. The total expected background shape is obtained by summing the two contributions, weighted according to the signal to background ratio found under the J mass peak. A function of the form: $f_{BG}(x) = A \cdot \exp(a\,x + b/x^2)$, where $x = M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-)$, is used to describe the background shape. The a and b parameters are determined through a maximum-likelihood fit. The normalisation



Fig. 3. Spectrum of photons in the mass region $M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-) = (414 \pm 56)$ MeV, after all selection cuts are applied but the one on the photon energy. The arrow indicates the position of the cut.



Fig. 4. Momentum of $\ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ combinations in the $M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-) = (414 \pm 56)$ MeV mass region.



Fig. 5. Measured $M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-)$ spectrum. The arrows delimit the signal region. In the insert the background subtracted distribution is shown.



Fig. 6. $M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-)$ background. The points are data taken from the sideband of the J peak and from $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ pairs in the J mass region (fake J background) associated with inclusive photon candidates. The histogram is the prediction from the Monte Carlo of inclusive J production without χ_c states (background due to random $J-\gamma$ combinations). The curve is the background shape fitted from the sum of the two types of background. The normalisation is to the expected fake J background.

Table 2
Relative systematic errors on the measurement of $R^Z_{\chi_{c1}}$ and $Br(Z \to \chi_{c1} + X)$.

	$\Delta R^Z_{\chi_{c1}} / R^Z_{\chi_{c1}}$	$\Delta Br/Br_{(Z\to\chi_{c1}+X)}$
M.C. statistics	2%	5%
γ selection	10%	10%
J purity	4%	–
$\Delta\epsilon_J/\epsilon_J$	–	7%
Bkg. subtraction	7%	7%
χ_c counting	8%	8%
$\Delta Br/Br_{(\chi_{c1}\to J+\gamma)}$	6%	6%
$\Delta Br/Br_{(J\to\ell^+\ell^-)}$	–	3%
Total	17%	18%

factor A is derived from the data events counted in the side bands of the χ_c mass window. After background subtraction, the number of observed χ_{c1} candidates is $N(\chi_{c1}) = 32.8 \pm 8.0$.

The χ_{c1} signal is simulated using $Z \to b\bar{b}$ decays [20]. The acceptance for finding the χ_{c1}, once the J has been selected, is 0.342 ± 0.007. The total efficiency, including the J_ψ selection, is found to be 0.081 ± 0.004, where the errors are statistical.

The evaluation of systematic uncertainties on both the $Br(Z \to \chi_{c1} + X)$ and $R^Z_{\chi_{c1}} = Br(Z \to \chi_{c1} + X)/Br(Z \to J + X)$ measurements is summarised in Table 2. We vary the cut on the energy of the photon between 0.5 and 1.5 GeV. The acceptance angle between the photon and the J direction is varied between 20° and 60°. Varying also the shower shape and isolation requirements, we estimate the total systematic error induced by the photon selection to be 10%. The systematic errors induced by the J selection efficiency cancel out in the $R^Z_{\chi_{c1}}$ ratio. This ratio is however affected by the purity of J selected in the dilepton sample.

The determination of the a, b parameters and of the normalisation of the function f_{BG} gives a statistical error on the background under the χ_{c1} signal region of 7.4%. We assume that all the selected candidates are from χ_{c1} decays. We do not expect any contamination due to χ_{c0} mesons, since their branching fraction into $J\gamma$ is negligible. The contamination due to $\chi_{c2} \to J\gamma$ decays has, however, to be investigated. A χ_{c2} signal would be located at $M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-) = 459.29$ MeV. Shrinking the width of the acceptance window around the expected χ_{c1} signal position by σ_{MC}, we obtain a 7% increase of the measured branch-



Fig. 7. Maximum likelihood fit to the $M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-)$ mass difference spectrum. In the insert the background-subtracted distribution of the signal region is shown.

ing fraction; enlarging the acceptance window by σ_{MC} results in a 3% decrease. As a further check, an alternative counting procedure is adopted. We perform an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the $M(\ell^+\ell^-\gamma) - M(\ell^+\ell^-)$ distribution. The same function $f_{BG}(x)$ is used to describe the background, but a and b are left as free parameters in the fit. Two Gaussian shapes are used for the χ_{c1} and the χ_{c2} signal, constrained to have the same width and a shift between central values consistent with the known $M_{\chi_{c2}} - M_{\chi_{c1}}$ mass difference of 45.6 MeV [23]. The result of this fit is shown in Fig. 7. We obtain a mass difference $M(\chi_{c1}) - M(J)$ of $413.2^{+3.6}_{-3.0}$ MeV, consistent with the expected value of 413.65 ± 0.13 MeV [23]. The fitted resolution is $10.8^{+3.5}_{-2.5}$ MeV. The numbers of χ_{c1} and χ_{c2} candidates found by the fit are $N^{fit}_{\chi_{c1}} = 30.1^{+7.7}_{-7.0}$ and $N^{fit}_{\chi_{c2}} = 8.8^{+5.4}_{-4.6}$. $N^{fit}_{\chi_{c1}}$ is in good agreement with the $N(\chi_{c1})$ value obtained previously in this paper. Including the variation of $Br(Z \to \chi_{c1} + X)$ observed by changing the mass-difference acceptance window, we assign to the counting procedure a relative systematic error of $\pm 8\%$.

Using $Br(\chi_{c1} \to J\gamma) = 0.273 \pm 0.016$ [23] we derive:

$$Br(Z \to \chi_{c1} + X) = (2.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3},$$

$$R^Z_{\chi_{c1}} = 0.80 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.14.$$

Using the results from the two-Gaussian fit, the 90% confidence level of the likelihood function corresponds to an upper limit of 16 observed χ_{c2} events. Using $Br(\chi_{c2} \to J\gamma) = 0.135 \pm 0.011$ [23] and accounting for systematic errors, we set an upper limit:

$$Br(Z \to \chi_{c2} + X) < 3.2 \times 10^{-3}$$

at the 90% confidence level.

The χ_{c1} production rate from fragmentation processes is expected to be of the same order of magnitude as the J. The color singlet prediction is $Br(Z \to \chi_{c1} + X)_p \simeq 0.5 \times 10^{-4}$ [4] whereas a higher value, around 1.4×10^{-4}, is expected in the color octet framework [7]. Assuming $Br(Z \to \chi_{c1} + X)_p = (1.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$ we derive:

$$Br(b \to \chi_{c1} + X) = (0.84 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}.$$

Even assuming prompt χ_{c1} production but no prompt J production, our $R^Z_{\chi_{c1}}$ measurement corresponds to: $R^b_{\chi_{c1}} = 0.75 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.15$, which is significantly higher than the color singlet model expectation $R^b_{\chi_{c1}} \simeq 0.2$.

4. Summary

With a sample of 3.2 million hadronic Z decays we obtain:

$$Br(Z \to J + X) = (3.40 \pm 0.23\,(stat.) \pm 0.27\,(sys.)) \times 10^{-3},$$

$$Br(Z \to \psi' + X) = (1.6 \pm 0.5\,(stat.) \pm 0.3\,(sys.)) \times 10^{-3},$$

$$Br(Z \to \chi_{c1} + X) = (2.7 \pm 0.6\,(stat.) \pm 0.5\,(sys.)) \times 10^{-3}.$$

These results improve and supersede our previous measurements [13,17]. The results on J and ψ' are consistent with the other LEP measurements [27,14]. The result on χ_{c1} is the most accurate today.

We obtain a 90% C.L. upper limit:

$$Br(Z \to \chi_{c2} + X) < 3.2 \times 10^{-3}.$$

If assumptions on the contribution to charmonium production due to fragmentation processes are made, the measured inclusive Z decay rates can be related to b decays. While the inferred $b \to J/\psi, \chi_{c1}) + X$ rates are consistent with measurements performed at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ [25,28], the $Br(b \to \chi_{c1} + X)/Br(b \to J + X)$ ratio is higher than expected from first-order color singlet model calculations, and suggests the existence of additional mechanisms of quarkonia production.

Acknowledgements

We wish to express our gratitude to the CERN accelerator divisions for the excellent performance of the LEP machine. We acknowledge with appreciation the effort of all engineers, technicians and support staff who have participated in the construction and maintenance of this experiment.

References

[1] J.H. Kühn, S. Nussinov, R. Rückl, Z. Phys. C 5 (1980) 117; J.H. Kühn, R. Rückl, Phys. Lett. B 135 (1984) 477.
[2] L. Bergström, P. Ernström, Phys. Lett. B 328 (1994) 153.
[3] P. Ko, J. Lee, H.S. Song, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 1409; D 54 (1996) 4312.
[4] K. Hagiwara, A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, Phys. Lett. B 267 (1991) 527; B 316 (1993) 635) (E).
[5] V. Barger, K. Cheung, W.Y. Keung, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1991) 1541;
E. Braaten, K. Cheung, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 4230.
[6] K. Cheung, W.Y. Keung, T.C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 877;
P. Cho, Phys. Lett. B 368 (1996) 171;
S. Baek, P. Ko, J. Lee, H.S. Song, Phys. Lett. B 389 (1996) 609.
[7] G.A. Schuler, CERN-TH/97-12 (hep-ph/9702230).
[8] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 3704;
CDF Collaboration, F. Abe et al., Fermilab-PUB-97/024E.
[9] E.L. Berger, D. Jones, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 1521;
E.L. Berger, D. Jones, Phys. Lett. B 121 (1983) 61;
R. Baier, R. Rückl, Z. Phys. C 19 (1983) 251.
[10] M. Cacciari, M. Greco, M.L. Mangano, A. Petrelli, Phys. Lett. B 356 (1995) 553;
E. Braaten, S. Fleming, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 3327;
P. Cho, M. Wise, Phys. Lett. B 346 (1995) 129.
[11] G.T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, T.C. Yuan, G.P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 3703.
[12] G.T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, G.P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 1125;
E. Braaten, S. Fleming, T.C. Yuan, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 46 (1996) 197.
[13] L3 Collab., O. Adriani et al., Phys. Lett. B 288 (1992) 412.
[14] DELPHI Collab., P. Abreu et al., Phys. Lett. B 341 (1994) 109.
[15] OPAL Collab., G. Alexander et al., Phys. Lett. B 384 (1996) 343.
[16] See, e.g., G.A. Schuler, Z Phys C 71 (1996) 317; CERN-TH.7170/94 (hep-ph/9403387) and references therein.
[17] L3 Collab., O. Adriani et al., Phys. Lett. B 317 (1993) 467.
[18] L3 Collab., B. Adeva et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 289 (1990) 35;
L3 Collab., M. Acciarri et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 351 (1994) 300;
L3 Collab., O. Adriani et al., Physics Reports 236 (1993) 1.
[19] L3 Collab., M. Acciarri et al., Z. Phys. C 62 (1994) 551.
[20] T. Sjöstrand, Comp. Phys. Comm. 39 (1986) 347;
T. Sjöstrand and M. Bengtsson, Comp. Phys. Comm. 43 (1987) 367;
T.Sjöstrand, Comp. Phys. Comm. 82 (1994) 74.
[21] M. Rattaggi, Misura della produzione della particella J/ψ nellesperimento L3 a LEP' Ph.D. thesis, 1995 Università degli Studi di Milano.
[22] S. Paoletti, Studio della produzione di J/ψ a LEP Ph.D. thesis, 1996 Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza.
[23] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) I.54.
[24] The LEP heavy flavour group, LEPHF/96-01, ALEPH Note 96-099, DELPHI 96-67 PHYS 627, L3 Note 1969, OPAL Technical Note TN391.
[25] CLEO Collab., R. Balest et al., Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 2661.
[26] The LEP Collaborations ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL and the Electroweak Working Group, CERN-PPE/95-172.
[27] ALEPH Collab., D. Buskulic et al., Phys. Lett. B 295 (1992) 396;
OPAL Collab., G. Alexander et al., Z. Phys. C 70 (1996) 197.
[28] ARGUS Collab., H. Albrecht et al., Phys. Lett. B 277 (1992) 209.